

Metastable Pluripotent States in NOD-Mouse-Derived ESCs

Jacob Hanna,* Styliani Markoulaki, Maisam Mitalipova, Albert W. Cheng, John P. Cassidy, Judith Staerk, Bryce W. Carey, Christopher J. Lengner, Ruth Foreman, Jennifer Love, Qing Gao, Jongpil Kim, and Rudolf Jaenisch*

*Correspondence: hanna@wi.mit.edu (J.H.), jaenisch@wi.mit.edu (R.J.)

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2015.07.014>

(Cell Stem Cell 16, 566–568; May 7, 2015)

We became aware from a reader that one of the images included in the Erratum that we published earlier this year closely resembled an image in one of the other figures in the paper. Specifically, the SSEA1 stained colony presented for 129 iPS^{EpiSC} #1K in Figure S10A was the same as the colony presented as SSEA1 staining of NOD-iPSC mES +KP/CH in Figure S1A. Although the two images are not identical, from examination of the relationship between them, we concluded that they do represent the same colony of cells and yet were presented as being distinct.

We have investigated the basis of this duplication and have determined that it resulted from a mistake in file saving and naming during recording of the data for these experiments. An SSEA1 staining image of a colony of NOD-iPSC mES +KP/CH cells, shown in Figure S1A, was inadvertently saved and mislabeled as an SSEA1 staining of 129 iPS^{EpiSC} #1K. We have examined the original data files related to this overall experiment and have verified based on date/time stamping and laboratory notes that this incorrect file labeling was the basis of the error. We also sent the relevant original data files to the journal editors for verification. Subsequent images saved shortly after the mislabeled one were correctly recorded as 129 iPS^{EpiSC} #1K and we have used a representative image from the correct series to produce a revised version of Figure S10 as shown below. All of the other panels shown in Figure S10 remain the same, and the conclusions of the paper are not affected.

We are grateful to the reader who brought this unfortunate mistake to our attention and apologize for any confusion caused to our colleagues in the community.




Figure S10. Characterization of EpiSCs-iPSCs

Functionally Distinct Subsets of Lineage-Biased Multipotent Progenitors Control Blood Production in Normal and Regenerative Conditions

Eric M. Pietras, Damien Reynaud, Yoon-A. Kang, Daniel Carlin, Fernando J. Calero-Nieto, Andrew D. Leavitt, Joshua M. Stuart, Berthold Göttgens, and Emmanuelle Passegué*

*Correspondence: passeguée@stemcell.ucsf.edu
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2015.07.003>

(Cell Stem Cell 17, 35–46; July 2, 2015)

A reader has brought to our attention that the microarray dye intensity results labeled MPP2 and MPP3 in Figure S3D were inverted. This error occurred because of a labeling error during simplification and harmonization of the MPP nomenclature that we use in the paper, and it does not affect the conclusions in any way. We have now corrected Figure S3D in the Supplemental Information associated with the paper, and we apologize to readers for any confusion caused by this unfortunate mistake.